



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

226

Date of decision: 26.11.2024

1. CWP No.20590 of 2018

Gurvinder Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

2. CWP No.30339 of 2018

Gurdeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Veneet Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners (in both petitions).

Mr. Satnampreet Singh, DAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

Vide this common order, two petitions bearing CWP-20590-2018 and CWP-30339-2018, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of.

In the present petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the petitioners competed for the post of Multi-purpose Health Worker as advertised on 24.11.2016 (Annexure P-1/T).

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as per advertisement, the Ex-servicemen were to be given the benefit but there was no mention of the dependents of Ex-servicemen. Hence, the petitioners competed for the post in the General category though, the petitioners were the dependents of an Ex-serviceman and were having the requisite certificate to



support the said claim.

Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that after the selection was over, the petitioners came to know that the dependent of an Ex-serviceman have also been considered and appointed to the said post by the respondents, hence, the petitioners have approached this Court. He further submits that all the posts as advertised on 24.11.2016 in the Ex-servicemen quota have not been filled up and the respondents be directed to consider the claim of the petitioners being the dependent of Ex-serviceman qua the post of Ex-serviceman which are lying vacant and could not be filled up.

Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners, the same will be decided in accordance with law and appropriate relief, in case permissible, will be allowed especially if the posts against which the petitioners are claiming consideration are still lying vacant out of the advertised post and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

Though, no direction is being given but in case, the post of Ex-servicemen are still lying vacant and the petitioner fulfilled the eligibility upto the last date of the application form to be considered as a dependent of Ex-serviceman, keeping in view the exceptional circumstances of the present case, where, no option was given to the dependents of Ex-servicemen to apply for, the case of the petitioners be considered sympathetically.

With these observations, both the petitions are disposed of.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

26.11.2024
D.Bansal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No