

**CWP-25780-2016****1**

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(214)**CWP-25780-2016****Date of Decision : September 09, 2024****Neena Bedi****.. Petitioner**

Versus

Guru Nanak Dev University Amritsar**.. Respondent****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: Mr. Raman Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Advocate, for the respondent.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioner is that the petitioner was given the selection grade of Rs.15,600-39100 + Grade Pay of Rs.8000/- w.e.f. 24.07.2010 whereas, the petitioner completed the eligibility to get the said scale in the year 2008.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the respondent-University qua the other similarly situated employees, had extended the benefit of the selection grade from the date of eligibility, details of whom have been appended as Annexure P-22 hence, the petitioner should also have been treated in the similar way as other similarly situated employees so as to grant her selection grade from the date of eligibility rather than from the date of passing of the order.

**CWP-25780-2016****2**

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-University submits that in case the petitioner files any representation giving the details of the employees who have been given the selection grade from the date of eligibility rather than from the date of passing of the order, the claim of the petitioner will be considered and in case it is found that the petitioner is similarly situated as the other employees, who have been granted the benefit of selection grade from the date of eligibility, appropriate order granting the relief to the petitioner will also be passed and in case, it is found that the petitioner is not entitled for any relief, due reasons will be given in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioner, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioner for her information and necessary action and the said speaking will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim/representation at the hands of the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondent-University, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

5. Ordered accordingly.

September 09, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No