



CRM-M No.37729-2024 1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CRM-M No.34729-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.12.2024

Ranjot Singh @ Ranjodh Singh @ Bablu

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**Present:** Mr. Hitesh Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. T.P.S.Walia, AAG, Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present second petition has been filed, under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for seeking bail pending trial in FIR No. 124 dated 08.10.2022, under Sections 307, 148 read with Section 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'IPC') and Sections 25 & 27 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Rangar Nangal, Tehsil and District Batala.

2. Allegations are that petitioner fired pistol shots at the police party with an intention to kill them while he was being chased and was apprehended with two pistols which contained eight live & nine empty cartridges.

3. Contends that present is a “no injury case”; petitioner was arrested in the present case on 08.10.2022 and after remaining in custody for about 02 years was granted interim bail by this Court on 25.09.2024. Further contends that in pursuance of the aforesaid order, he is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. Also contends that there is no allegation that petitioner is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner.



CRM-M No.37729-2024 2

concerned, has fairly conceded the above factual position and submits that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court. Also acknowledged that petitioner has not misused the concession of interim bail granted by this Court on 25.09.2024.

5. Heard learned counsel for both the sides and perused the paper book.

6. This Court granted interim bail to the petitioner on 25.09.2024 in the following manner:-

“Learned State counsel has produced custody certificate dated 24.09.2024, which is taken on record. Registry to tag the same at appropriate place.

Contends, inter alia, that present is a no injury case; charges were framed on 16.10.2023 and out of total 42 PWs, only 04 PWs have been examined till date.

Learned State counsel seeks time to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 14.11.2024.

In the meanwhile, petitioner be released on interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds subject to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/CJM/Duty Magistrate concerned. ”

7. Learned State counsel has duly acknowledged that petitioner is regularly appearing before learned trial Court and there is no allegation that in case, interim bail is made absolute, he is likely to misuse the concession or hamper the proceedings in any manner. In such a scenario, sending the petitioner to custody at this stage would not serve any purpose.

8. Consequently, present petition is allowed. Interim bail granted to the petitioner, vide order dated 25.09.2024, is made absolute. He shall be admitted to bail on furnishing bail/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Chief Judicial Magistrate/Duty Magistrate concerned.

9. Petitioner shall appear on each & every date of hearing and to fully co-operate with learned trial Court without seeking any unnecessary adjournment(s).



CRM-M No.37729-2024 3

10. The above observations be not construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.
11. It is clarified that in case there is any misuse of concession by the petitioner, State would be at liberty to move an appropriate application for recalling of this order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

17.12.2024
Rajeev (rvs)

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	No