



In the High Court for the States of Punjab and Haryana
At Chandigarh

CRM-M-33938-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 29.8.2024

Amit ... Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Sahir Singh Virk, Advocate for
Mr. V.B. Godara, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sarun Hans, Advocate for the complainant.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Section/s
77	24.3.2024	Sadar Hansi	120-B, 285, 506 of Indian Penal Code and Section 25 of Arms Act

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (Oral)

- The instant petition has been filed on behalf of the petitioner seeking grant of anticipatory bail in respect of aforementioned FIR.
- On 6.8.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the matter infact arises out of a land dispute between the petitioner’s family and complainant’s family and that as of now the Civil Court has already decided the matter in favour of complainant’s family and that it is only the matter pertaining to partition and division, which is pending before the Tehsildar.



CRM-M-33938-2024 (O&M) (2)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner is willing to amicably resolve the matter on reasonable terms and will not raise any dispute. It has been submitted that the parties may be referred to Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court.

List again on 29.8.2024.

Petitioner’s father namely Sushil Kumar or any other responsible person from his family as well as complainant Vinod Kumar son of Ram Narayan are directed to appear before Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court on 14.8.2024.”

- 3. Report of Mediation and Conciliation Center of this Court has been received as per which the matter has been resolved amongst the parties.
- 4. At this stage, Mr. Sarun Hans, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant and has filed *Vakalatnama*, which is taken on record. He has endorsed the factum of compromise and has stated that he has no objection in case the petitioner is granted bail.
- 5. Having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case particularly the fact that the matter is stated to have resolved amongst the parties, the instant petition is allowed and the petitioner, in the event of his arrest, is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

29.8.2024
Geeta

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

Whether speaking /reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No