



243 CRM-A-1797-2019

Raju Bhadana vs. Sunil Kumar

Present: Ms. Shruti Mandhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Kunal Dawar, Advocate
for the applicant.

Mr. Bhisham Kumar Majoka, Advocate
for the respondent.

CRM-A-1797-2019

Applicant’s counsel submits that the present application was filed against acquittal in the year 2019 and he has a very good case on merit.

Counsel appearing for the respondent submits that the judgment of acquittal is well reasoned and leave to appeal should not be granted. He further submits that in case, this Court grants leave to appeal and admit the main appeal, its turn will not come for hearing for decades, as such pendency of the appeal may be treated in-consequential for the respondent.

Leave to appeal granted.

Counsel for the respondent submits that he be exempted from furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds before the concerned trial Court or Chief Judicial Magistrate. He undertakes to surrender before the majesty of Court as and when this Court directed to do so or in case of reversal of the judgment.

Given such undertaking, there shall be no need to furnish bail bonds/surety bonds by the respondent.

Main appeal

Admit.

List for final hearing on its own turn as per its queue following the roster of Negotiable Instruments Act.

It is clarified that the pendency of this appeal shall not be construed against the accused-respondent in any manner whatsoever.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

23.09.2024
anju rani