



109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6925-2024

DATE OF DECISION: 22.07.2024

MANPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER ...PETITIONERS
Versus
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner who are in live in relationship by providing adequate protection to the petitioners and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) within a time bound manner.

3. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.2- I.G.P., Patiala Zone, Patiala is directed to consider and decide the representation dated 01.07.2024 (Annexure P-3) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.07.2024

anuradha

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No