



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 14275-CII of 2024 in/and
COCP No. 2361 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.09.2024

Poonam Kharb

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Alok Verma, IFS (Retd.), Secretary,
Haryana Public Service Commission, Panchkula and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate and
Ms. Sheena Dahiya, Advocate, for respondent No. 1-HPSC.

Mr. Pawan Kumar Longia, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

CM-14275-CII-2024

Prayer in the present application moved on behalf of the
applicant-petitioner, is for preponing the date of hearing of the main case from
16.10.2024 to an earlier date.

Notice of the application stood issued vide order dated
28.08.2024, which had been accepted by the respective learned counsel(s).

With the consent of learned counsel for the parties, the main case
is preponed and is taken up today itself.

Application stands **disposed off**.

MAIN CASE

The petitioner, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of
contempt proceedings against the respondents, alleging willful disobedience of

the order dated 27.05.2024 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in CWP No. 6953 of 2024.

[2] In response, learned counsel for respondent No. 1-HPSC submits that the petitioner having found place in the merit list, her name, vide letter dated 06.09.2024, has been recommended as the selected candidate to the concerned department i.e. Secondary Education Department, Govt. of Haryana.

[3] To this, learned counsel for respondent No. 2 prays for ten days’ time and assures / undertakes for further compliance with respect to the issuance of appointment letter as well as permitting the petitioner to join as such.

[4] In view of aforesaid assurance / undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition any further.

[5] **Disposed off as not pressed.**

[6] Rule stands discharged.

[7] It is made clear that in case the needful is not done, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the present petition by instituting an appropriate application, and on the day of its listing, the officer concerned shall remain present in Court besides it, he / she shall bear the costs of Rs.50,000/- towards litigation expenses incurred by the petitioner from his / her own pocket.

September 09, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>