


2024:PHHC:089806



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

SR. No.110

CRWP-6794-2024

Date of decision:18.07.2024

Heena and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S. SHEKHAWAT

Present: Mr. Deepak Kumar Barita, Advocate for the petitioners.

N.S. SHEKHAWAT, J.

1. The petitioners have filed the instant petition with a prayer to direct respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and also direct to respondents No.4 to 6 not to interfere in their lives and liberty.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already submitted a representation dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala and the petitioners shall be satisfied if directions are issued to respondent No.2 to look into their representation (Annexure P-5).

3. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3 only, at this stage.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. I.P.S. Sabharwal, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

6. In view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing anything on the merits and claim of the parties, respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala is directed to depute a senior officer to look into the representation (Annexure P-5) and after seeking a report, he may pass an appropriate orders, in accordance with law.

7. This order shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners. The parties mentioned in the petition or any other person mentioned in the petition shall be at liberty to take recourse to appropriate law, if any.

8. With these observations, this petition is disposed of.

18.07.2024
mks

(N.S. SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: YES / NO
Whether Reportable: YES / NO