



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

110

CWP-16321-2024
Date of decision: 01.08.2024

SUNIL KUMAR
Vs.
STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
...PETITIONER
...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider him for the post of Information Technology Assistant (Software) (for short 'ITA').
2. The petitioner claims that he belongs to SC (Ramdasiya and other) category. He has secured 148 marks out of 400 still he has not been selected whereas other candidates belonging to same category who had secured lesser marks, have been selected.
3. From the perusal of record, it comes out that selection in question relates to advertisement of 2021. In the advertisement, it was provided that candidate must have 2 years' relevant experience for the post of ITA. The petitioner cleared written test and he was called for document verification. The experience certificate furnished by petitioner was scrutinized and it was found irrelevant. He had worked as a Sales Officer with HDFC Bank and Assistant

Manager with Kotak Mahindra Bank. The experience of Sales Officer or Assistant Manager in Banks cannot be considered as experience in Information Technology field. He had further submitted certificate of experience with Guru Kirpa Computers. As per Guru Kirpa Computers, he was working as a Marketing Advisor and further caring of hardware.

4. During the course of hearing, on being asked, Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate confirmed that petitioner was getting salary in cash from Guru Kirpa Computers from 2009 to 2013. The experience certificates of petitioner with banks, apparently, were not pertaining to the Information Technology field and in case of Guru Kirpa Computers, he was primarily working as Marketing Advisor. He claims that he was getting salary of Rs. 6500/- per month whereas he has no evidence of receipt of salary except to say that he had received it in cash. The selection process has already been completed and candidates have already joined.

5. Considering the totality of the facts and circumstances, this Court does not find it appropriate to invoke its extra-ordinary writ jurisdiction. The present petition deserves to be dismissed and accordingly dismissed.

01.08.2024
manoj

[JAGMOHAN BANSAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No