



CRWP-6749-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

CRWP-6749-2024

Date of Decision:- 17.07.2024

Mehak and Anr.

....Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and Ors.

...Respondents

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Sukhwinder Singh Kainth, Advocate for petitioners.

Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioners Mehak and Ajaypal Singh have filed present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 32 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1 and Matriculation Certificate is Annexure P-2. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 36 years, copy of his aadhar card is Annexure P-3 and Matriculation Certificate is Annexure P-4. They had performed marriage on 15.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Gurudwara Dashmesh Pita Patshahi Dasvi, Village Nada, Singha Devi, Tehsil Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali is Annexure P-6 and marriage photographs are Annexure P-5. Petitioners also moved representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, District Tarn Taran, which is Annexure P-7. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner

**CRWP-6749-2024****-2-**

No.1. Respondents No.4 and 5 are mother and brother of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Kewal Singh, Addl. A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 15.07.2024 (Annexure P-7) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 and 5, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

17.07.2024*Sunil Devi***(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE**Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:Yes/No
Yes/No