



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

206

CWP-25122-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.07.2024

Sunil Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, AAG, Haryana.

AMAN CHAUDHARY. J. (ORAL)

1. The prayer in the present petition is for quashing the impugned orders dated 27.08.2009, 16.11.2012 and 16.09.2013 (Annexure P13, P21 and P23), vide which claim of the petitioner for ex-gratia scheme and financial assistance has been declined.
2. At the outset, learned State counsel submits that the case of petitioner for compassionate appointment cannot be considered in view of the judgment passed by the Division Bench in **Rajbala and another vs. State of Haryana and others**¹, wherein only financial assistance has been awarded to the family of the deceased employee.
3. Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioner prays for disposing of the case in terms of aforesaid judgment and directing the respondents

¹ LPA-584-2018, decided on 18.09.2018

2024:PHHC:095124



to grant the benefits accorded therein, in a time bound manner, to which the learned State counsel has no objection.

4. The relevant para of **Rajbala and another** (supra) reads thus:-

“3. ...Needless to observe that the learned Single Judge has already issued a direction to the respondents to process the case of the appellant for payment of a sum of Rs.2.5 lacs within a period of two months as ex-gratia to which she is entitled under the new Rules.”

5. In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is disposed of in terms of the judgment passed in **Rajbala and another** (supra).

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

29.07.2024
ashok

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No