

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(214) CWP-19609-2018
Date of Decision : March 13, 2024

Kulbir Singh Verma .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(214-2) CWP-23070-2018

Narinder Kumar Dahiya .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(214-3) CWP-24782-2018 (O&M)

Sudesh Kumar .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(214-4) CWP-24791-2018

Ravinder Mohan .. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(214-5) CWP-23301-2018

Naresh Kumar Rathee and another .. Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana .. Respondent

(214-6)	CWP-26916-2018	
Yunus Khan		.. Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		.. Respondent
(214-7)	COCP-256-2020 (O&M)	
Sudesh Kumar		.. Petitioner
	Versus	
Sudhir Rajpal IAS Principal Secretary to Govt. Of Haryana		.. Respondent
(213)	CWP-15057-2018	
Rajpal Sharma		.. Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		.. Respondent
(213-2)	CWP-15938-2018 (O&M)	
Karan Singh Sheoran		.. Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana and another		.. Respondents
(213-3)	CWP-16752-2018 (O&M)	
Purshottam Dass and others		.. Petitioners
	Versus	
State of Haryana		.. Respondent
(213-4)	CWP-21395-2018 (O&M)	
Krishan Kumar Dhankhar		.. Petitioner
	Versus	
State of Haryana		.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dharmender Singh Rawat, Advocate, for the petitioners
in all petitions.

Ms. Vibha Tewari, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ajay Redhu, Advocate, for
Mr. Jagjeet Beniwal, Advocate, for respondent No.2
in CWP No.16752 of 2018.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. By this common order, 10 writ petitions and one civil original contempt petition, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being disposed of as all the petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the question of law raised in the present writ petition has already been decided by this Court in the case of similarly situated employees while passing order in ***CWP No.15026 of 2018 titled as Sandeep Dhillon and another vs. State of Haryana and others, decided on 12.02.2024*** hence, the present writ petitions be also disposed of in same terms and conditions.
3. Learned State counsel raises no objection for the grant of said prayer.
4. Keeping in view the above, the present petitions are also disposed of in terms of the order passed in ***Sandeep Dhillon's case (supra)***.
5. Any civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.
6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected cases.

March 13, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No