



**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-34173 of 2024

Date of Decision: 24.07.2024

Dinesh Kumar

...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. J.S.Mehndiratta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.
Ms. Tanya Kanwer, Advocate and
Mr. Lakshay Goel, Advocate for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 483 of the Bhartiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 with a prayer to grant regular bail to him in case FIR No.280 dated 18.06.2024 registered under Sections 406 and 420 of IPC (Section 120-B of IPC added later on) at Police Station Civil Lines, Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. As per allegations levelled by the complainant, Ms. Jyoti had agreed to pay a sum of Rs.12/13 lakhs to the petitioner for going abroad in January 2024. She paid a sum of Rs.50,000/- in cash on 17.01.2024 and had also handed over original passport and educational certificates. On 30.01.2024, she transferred a sum of Rs. 6,62,650/- in the account of Zenith Leisure Holiday Ltd., Ludhiana. However, in spite of taking money, she was not sent abroad. When she demanded her money and documents back, she was threatened and the original documents were not returned to her.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the FIR was got registered by Ms. Jyoti under some misunderstanding. In fact, she had attended



classes through online mode and was also got a diploma in business management on 23.04.2024. Even the petitioner tried to convince her that the case of the complainant for obtaining a degree from a foreign University had been approved. However, she got the present FIR registered against the petitioner and others. Learned counsel further contends that the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties and the complainant has also sworn an affidavit (Annexure P-9) in this regard.

4. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that the petitioner is involved in a serious crime. However, learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2 submits that he has no objection, in case the present petition is allowed as the matter has been amicably resolved between the parties.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

6. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 19.06.2024 and both the parties have settled all their disputes amicably. Thus, no purpose will be served by keeping the petitioner behind bars.

7. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

24.07.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No