

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

211

CWP-21325-2017
Date of Decision : 29.04.2024

TEK CHAND AND ORS. ... PETITIONERS

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND ORS. ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Mrinal Dewan, Advocate for
Mr. R.S.Manhas, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Sr. Panel Counsel
for the respondents-UOI.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioners through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India are seeking setting aside of order dated 20.05.2017 (Annexure P-6) whereby the respondents have denied their claim for regularization of their services.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has no instructions from his clients.
3. Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Sr. Panel Counsel submits that the petitioners since a long time are not in service. There is no policy of regularization. The issue involved in the present case is squarely covered by the judgment of Supreme Court in Union of India and ors. vs. Vartak Labour Union, (2011) 4 SCC 200.

4. In the wake of statement of learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is hereby dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

29.04.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>