

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33929-2024
Date of Decision:-03.09.2024

RANJIT SINGH

... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

... Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Himani Kapila, Advocate for the complainant.

SANJIV BERRY, J (ORAL)

Learned State counsel has filed additional affidavit of the then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Gurdaspur, now DSP/Crime against women, Ferozpur dated 03.09.2024, the same is taken on record. Copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel opposite.

2. Mr. Rajbir Singh, the then Deputy Superintendent of Police, Rural, District Gurdaspur, now DSP/Crime against women, Ferozpur is present in Court today and tendered unconditional apology by furnishing the explanation, the same is accepted and he is directed to be careful in future.

3. By way of the present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, the petitioner is praying for anticipatory bail in

the event of arrest in FIR (Annexure P-1) detailed as under:-

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
31	22.06.2024	326, 323. 324, 506, 34 IPC	Kahnuwan, District Gurdaspur

4. Heard.
5. It is, *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case, in fact the petitioner himself had received greivous injuries on his person from the end of complainant party and a cross version dated 23.06.2024 (Annexure P-2) is registered against the complainant party. He contends that the petitioner has been involved in the present case on account of dispute regarding water channel between the parties. He submits that the petitioner is ready to join the investigation as such, he prays for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
6. *Per contra*, learned State counsel assisted by learned counsel for the complainant, referring to the reply submitted by the State have assailed these arguments by submitting that the petitioner while being armed with datar (sharp edged weapon) has caused multiple injuries on the person of Gurdeep Singh and Raninder Singh including incised would on the skull of the injured. They contend that as many as four injuries were caused by the petitioner with the help of his sharp edged weapon. Although he also received one grievous injury qua which cross version is registered. The learned State counsel submits that recovery of weapon is yet to be effected from the petitioner for which custodial interrogation is required. hence he prays for dismissal of the petition.

7. After considering the arguments and perusing the record, it transpires that the instant case was registered on the statement of Gurdeep Singh that on 19.06.2024 at about 09:30 pm he alongwith his son Raninder Singh had gone to fields for irrigating their fields then his brother Amarjit Singh armed with datar, Ranjit Singh armed with datar came there and on lalkara being raised by Amarjit Singh caused multiple injuries to them from their respective weapons. The injured were shifted to hospital and the instant FIR was registered.

8. From the perusal of record it transpires that the petitioner is alleged to have caused as many as four injuries on the person of Gurdeep Singh and Raninder Singh, all with sharp aged weapon i.e. datar, including the injury on the skull of Gurdeep Singh which has been declared grievous in nature. Therefore, considering the nature and gravity of offence, no case is made out in favour of the petitioner for grant of anticipatory bail in the present petition, the same is hereby dismissed.

9. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

03.09.2024

Gyan

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |