

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, at Chandigarh

Civil Revision No. 4652 of 2019

Date of Decision: 09.01.2024

Sangeeta Devi

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

Lokesh Kumar and Others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Anil Kshetarpal.

Present: Mr. Amit Jain, Senior Advocate
with Mr. Varun Parkash, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vinod Kumar, Advocate
for Mr. Rajesh Lamba, Advocate
for the respondent No.3.

Anil Kshetarpal, J.

1. The learned counsel representing the petitioner and respondent No.3 jointly state that there is a settlement arrived at between the parties. A deed of settlement signed by Sangeeta Devi and Umed Singh has been handed over during the course of hearing. Respondent No.3-Umed Singh, identified by his counsel, is present in the Court. He admits the contents of the settlement deed. A settlement deed dated 08.12.2023 along with the layout plan is taken on record as Mark “C1”.

2. As per the memo of parties, there are two more respondents, namely Lokesh Kumar and Bal Kishan. The learned senior counsel representing the petitioner submits that the matter between the petitioner and respondent No.2 was settled as recorded in the order dated 13.12.2022. He

He further submits that in a suit for specific performance of the agreement to

sell filed by Umed Singh against Lokesh Kumar, a decree has already been passed in favour of Umed Singh. Hence, Lokesh Kumar is not a necessary party. Consequently, his name is deleted. It has also been brought to the notice of this Court that Umed Singh had filed the objection petition which was allowed by the Executing Court against which the revision petition has been filed.

3. In view of the aforesaid, the present revision petition is disposed of in terms of the settlement deed dated 08.12.2023.

(Anil Kshetarpal)
Judge

January 09, 2024
“DK”

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No