

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

220

CWP-19245-2018

Date of Decision :10.01.2024

Suman Bala**...Petitioner****Versus****State of Haryana and others****....Respondents****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI**

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Senior DAG, Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the prayer of the petitioner in the present petition is to open up an Anganwari in Village Chhikan/Nichli in place of Bachapanshala, which was abolished in the year 2015, where the petitioner was working as Anganwari worker.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents further submits that the prerogative of opening an Anganwari is with the State and petitioner cannot make any request to the said effect and once the Bachapanshala, where the petitioner was working has already been closed in the year 2015 and petitioner has approached this Court after a period of 03 years, claim of the petitioner as raised in the present petition is liable to be rejected.

3. No one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner. It can be safely presumed that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present remedy.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

January 10, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No