



CRWP-6694-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

109

**CRWP-6694-2024
Date of Decision: 16.07.2024**

RITIK MATTU & ANR

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH.

Present: Mr. Kuldeep Singh Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

GURBIR SINGH, J (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed *inter alia* with a prayer for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and not to interfere in their personal lives.

It transpires from the petition that the date of birth of petitioner No.2-Kavita Rani has been mentioned as 27.07.2003 whereas in her Aadhar Card annexed with the petition at Annexure P-2, her date of birth has been mentioned as 29.07.2003. Faced with this, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is typographical error and the date of birth of petitioner No.2 may be read as 29.07.2003 instead of 27.07.2003. Ordered accordingly. Registry is directed to do the needful.

It has been submitted that the date of birth of petitioner No.1 is 04.01.2002. Copy of his Aadhar Card has also been attached as Annexure P-1.

It has been submitted that the marriage of the petitioners was solemnized on 11.07.2024. The marriage certificate is attached as Annexure P-3. Respondents No. 4 and 5 had opposed to their marriage, as

**CRWP-6694-2024****2**

the petitioners had performed the marriage without their consent. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 12.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Ropar seeking protection of their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos. 4 and 5.

Notice of motion to respondent Nos.1 to 3.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Manjot Kaur, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the matter and in particular the legality of the alleged relationship, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Ropar to forthwith consider the aforesaid representation dated 12.07.2024 made on behalf of the petitioners and thereafter, take appropriate action on the same as is deemed fit in accordance with law to ensure the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners. Till then, protect the life and liberty of the petitioners. Respondent No.2 would pass necessary order without being influenced by any pleadings made in the petition.

It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to validate the alleged relationship between the petitioners or protect them from legal action for violation, if any, committed by them.

16.07.2024*renu***(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE****Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No**