

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

252

CWP-15454-2023
Date of decision: 07.02.2024

Baldev Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajit Singh Natt, A.A.G., Punjab.

Mr. Sarthak Soni, Advocate with
Mr. Sanjeev Soni, Advocate for respondent No.3.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner has filed the instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to count the entire daily wage services of the petitioner rendered before regularization as qualifying service for the purpose of pensionary/retiral benefits and furthermore he be held entitled to receive pensionary benefits as per the Old G.P.F. Scheme.

2. Written statement on behalf of respondent No.3 has been filed in the Court which is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the present writ petition is covered by the judgment passed by the Division Bench of this Court in **CWP No.2371 of 2010**, titled as ‘*Harbans Lal Vs.*

State of Punjab’, decided on **31.08.2010**; **LPA No.666 of 2022** and

CWP-15454-2023

-2-

other connected appeals, titled as ‘*Municipal Council, Qadian Vs. Musthaq Maish and others*’, decided on 21.12.2023 as well as judgment passed in *CWP No.16147 of 2023 and other connected cases* titled as ‘*Surjit Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others*’ decided on 30.01.2024.

4. Consequently, the instant writ petition is allowed in the same terms as in CWP No.2371 of 2010.

07.02.2024
kothiyal

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No