

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-19763-2021
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

GURINDER PAL AND OTHERS

...Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Raj Kapoor Malik, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl. AG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance of the present petitioners is that they have worked on the gazetted holidays, but, they have not been paid twice the salary for the same, which they are entitled for.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the claim of the petitioners with regard to the working on gazetted holidays has already been considered and keeping in view the number of gazetted holidays available to the petitioners and other similarly situated employees, the benefit has already been released.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the benefit of all the gazetted holidays for which the petitioners have worked have not been given.
4. Learned State counsel submits that in case any representation is received from the petitioners giving the details of the particular gazetted holidays on which they have worked and have not been paid twice the salary, as being claimed by them, the said representation will be considered keeping

in view the record being maintained by the Department and if it is found that the petitioners have not been paid the salary in lieu of gazetted holidays, appropriate payment will be made otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioners will be given by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
(JUDGE)

15.02.2024
kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No