

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

2024:PHHC:051577
CWP No. 24430 of 2016
Date of Decision:18.04.2024

Lokranjan Goyal

....Petitioner

VS.

Institute of Banking Personnel Selection and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the petitioner

Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking direction to respondents to consider his case under Clause I (14) of advertisement dated 16.07.2016 (Annexure P-1) and Clause 10 of the guidelines(Annexure P-2) and provide him a special chance to appear in the main examination for the recruitment of Probationary Officers/Management Trainees.

2. There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on 01.03.2024. Even today, there is no change in the situation.

3. Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate submits that in view of judgment of Supreme Court in '**Rajbir Surajbhan Singh Vs. Chairman, Institute of Banking Personnel Selection, Mumbai**' (2019) 14 SCC 189 and

Division Bench judgment of this Court in ‘**Institute of Banking Personnel Selection Vs. Lakhman Verma and others**’, LPA No.1597 of 2019 decided on 11.11.2020, the writ petition is not maintainable.

4. In view of order dated 11.11.2020 passed by Division Bench of this Court which is based upon judgment of Supreme Court in **Rajbir Surajbhan Singh (Supra)**, this Court is of the opinion that writ petition is not maintainable.

5. Dismissed.

6. The petitioner is at liberty to avail remedies as permissible by law.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

18.04.2024
paramjit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes	
Whether reportable:		No