



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33977-2024
Date of Decision:08.08.2024

Sunita Yadav ...Petitioner(s)
Vs.
State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Bhanu Udai, Advocate, for the complainant.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Petitioner prays for grant of pre-arrest bail in FIR No.612 dated 23.10.2023 registered under Sections 406/420 IPC at Police Station, Pataudi, Gurugram, District Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the dispute between the complainant and the petitioner is purely civil in nature and has been given the colour of a criminal offence. He further contends that the complainant neither paid the total sale consideration nor had filed a civil suit against the present petitioner and by colluding with the local police, got FIR registered wrongly. He also submits that the entire dispute is based on documentary evidence and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of Court, Mrs. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State, while Mr.

Punam Singh, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the complainant.

List on 08.08.2024.

Meanwhile, reply may be filed by the State of Haryana by way of personal affidavit of the concerned DCP.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation. In the event of arrest, she shall be released on interim bail to the satisfaction of arresting/investigating officer subject to the conditions envisaged under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the aforesaid order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned counsel for the State has submitted that the petitioner has joined the investigation and is no longer required for further investigation.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 18.07.2024 is made absolute and the petition is allowed. The petitioner shall continue to join investigation, as and when called by the Investigating Officer and shall also abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

08.08.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No