



CWP-25076-2015

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(212)

CWP-25076-2015

Date of Decision : September 09, 2024

Saroop Singh and another

.. Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Abhiraj Singh Baweja, Advocate, for
Mr. H.S. Sirohi, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Saurabh Arora, Advocate, for respondent No.4.

Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate and
Ms. Arjoo Modi, Advocate, for respondent No.5.

Mr. Gagneshwar Walia, Advocate, with
Mr. Fateh Singh Dhillon, Advocate, for respondent No.6.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

1. In the present writ petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that the services of the petitioners have been terminated on the ground that the their degrees of the essential qualification have been found to be fake whereas, the petitioners have already been exonerated of the criminal charge on the same issue.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in one of the report given by the Institution concerned, they have retracted with regard to the assertion that the degree of the petitioners is fake and the similarly

**CWP-25076-2015****2**

situated employees have already been reinstated in service hence, the petitioners be also granted the same benefit.

3. Learned State counsel submits that in case the petitioners file any representation giving the details of the persons who are similarly situated as the petitioners, have been reinstated as well as the letter of the University that the degrees of the petitioners were not fake, appropriate consideration will be given to the said representation and speaking order on the said representation will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim/representation and in case, it is found that the claim of the petitioners needs to be accepted, the same will be accepted otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order to be passed for not accepting the claim of the petitioners for their information and necessary action, which reasons will be conveyed to the petitioners.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to the petitioners to file appropriate representation with the respondents.

5. Ordered accordingly.

September 09, 2024*harsha***(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)****JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No