

**CRM-M-33744-2024****IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****263****CRM-M-33744-2024****Date of decision: August 21, 2024****DINESH**

....Petitioner

Versus**STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER**

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:-** Mr. M.S Kathuria, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate for respondent no.2.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J.

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.67 dated 15.06.2023 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of joint statement of the parties recorded at first motion dated 15.05.2024 in the petition filed under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 17.07.2024:

"This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.67 dated 15.06.2023 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of joint statement of the parties recorded at first motion dated 15.05.2024 in the petition filed under Section 13-B of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion for 21.08.2024.



CRM-M-33744-2024

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Rahul Chauhan, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files Power of Attorney, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63** and Full Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (CrI.) 1052**, this petition is allowed and FIR No.67 dated 15.06.2023 under Sections 323, 406, 498-A, 506 of IPC, registered at Women Police Station Jhajjar, District Jhajjar (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising of the same are quashed, qua the petitioner.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

August 21, 2024
ritu

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable:

Yes
No