



CRWP-6752-2024

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

108

CRWP-6752-2024

Date of Decision: 18.07.2024

MONIKA AND ANR

.....*Petitioners**Versus*

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

....*Respondents***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH.**

Present: Ms. Vini Mahajan, Advocate for the petitioners.

GURBIR SINGH, J.(ORAL)

The petitioners seek a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.2 and 3 to protect their life and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 to 9, with a further prayer to take appropriate legal action on representation dated 09.07.2024 (Annexure P-4).

It is stated that both the petitioners are major by age. The date of birth of petitioner No. 1 is 12.05.1997 and date of birth of petitioner No.2 is 04.08.2002. The copy of Aadhar Cards and 10th class certificates of both the petitioners are annexed as Annexure P-1 and P-2.

Petitioner No.1 is married and has filed a divorce petition in which notice has been issued (interim order annexed as Annexure P-3). Petitioner No. 1 is having one son out of the wedlock. Petitioner No.2 is unmarried and running a shop. They have decided to live together in live-in-relationship and due to regular threats the petitioners are running here and there.

Respondent Nos.4 to 8 are against the relationship of petitioners and harassing the petitioners and threatened the petitioners to face the dire consequences.

The learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that a marriage



CRWP-6752-2024

2

is not a must for providing security to a couple in a 'live-in relationship' because protection *qua* life and liberty is sacrosanct and stands at the highest pedestal. He has also placed reliance upon orders passed by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court in '*Sarabjeet Kaur and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-102-2022 decided on 07.01.2022 and '*Goutam Kumar and another versus State of Punjab and others*' in CRWP-8088-2021 decided on 26.08.2021.

Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 3.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the official respondents No.1 to 3. Since no order prejudicial to the interest of the parties is being passed, therefore, there is no necessity of inviting any response.

At this stage, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, as well as on the age and nature of the relationship between the petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.2, I deem it appropriate to direct respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Charkhi Dadri, District Charkhi Dadri, Haryana to look into the matter and pass an appropriate order in the representation allegedly filed by the petitioners before him on 09.07.2024. It would be appreciated if necessary orders are passed within one month from the date of receipt of copy of this order. Till then, protect the life and liberty of the petitioners.

However, if the petitioners is found to have been involved in any other case then this order shall not preclude the competent authority from taking lawful action against the petitioners.

Disposed of.

18.07.2024

renu

**(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE***Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No**Whether Reportable: Yes/No*