

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

106

**CRM-M-35738 of 2023 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION :- 08.05.2024**

Mohit Bansal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUMEET GOEL

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Mahima Yashpal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Grover, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

SUMEET GOEL, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, after arguing for some time,
seeks permission to withdraw the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has, however, submitted that
the petitioner is suffering from a severe eye disease namely Retinitis
Pegimentis & it is very difficult for the petitioner to travel from Zirakpur
(which is his regular place of abode) to Fatehabad for facing trial.

Keeping in view the entirety of facts and circumstances of the
case, personal appearance of the petitioner before the trial Court is exempted
subject to the following conditions :-

- (i) He will be represented by a counsel;
- (ii) He will not delay/stall the proceedings of the trial Court;
- (iii) He will not dispute his identity as accused;
- (iv) He will have no objection if the prosecution evidence
is recorded in his absence but in presence of his counsel;

(v) He will appear before the trial Court as and when required by the trial Court and any other condition which the trial Court may impose.

The petitioner shall file an affidavit before the concerned trial Court stipulating above conditions.

The main petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the above said direction(s).

Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

(SUMEET GOEL)
JUDGE

08.05.2024
P.Singh

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No