

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-33716-2024
Date of Decision:07.08.2024

Gurdeep Singh Sandhu ...Petitioner

VS.

U.T., Chandigarh and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vivek Singla, APP, U.T, Chandigarh.

Ms. Harita Panthey, Advocate
for the complainant.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the complainant wishes to
withdraw her Vakalatnama, as she has no instructions in the matter.

The same is allowed; as prayed for.

After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to
withdraw the instant petition with liberty to avail his alternative remedies, in
accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with aforesaid liberty.

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

07.08.2024
hitesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No