



217

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33493-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.11.2024

Raju Masih

....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Ms. Abhilasha Kainth, Advocate for
Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner Raju Masih has filed petition under Section 438 of Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail in case GD No. 22 dated 11.11.2023 under Sections 452, 427, 323, 148 and 149 of IPC in FIR No. 98 dated 04.11.2023, under Sections 323, 452, 427, 148 and 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Bhindi Saidian, District Amritsar.

2. As per the facts of case, cross-version has been recorded on the statement of Sukhjeet Kaur who stated that on 03.11.2023 at about 07:00 PM, she was doing domestic work in Haveli when Raju Masih, his brother Resham Masih, Parwinder Kaur and Parveen Kaur alongwith 3-4 unknown persons entered their Haveli and gave beating to her son Harmanjit Singh. When she came forward to save her son, she was pushed aside and was abused. Car parked in Haveli was also damaged. Reason behind this occurrence was a quarrel which had taken place between children.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner pointed out that as per order dated 16.07.2024, he has already joined investigation and is ready to abide by the terms of bail order.
4. Status report is filed by official respondent, which is taken on record, subject to all just exceptions, confirming that petitioner joined investigation on 17.07.2024 and also furnished his bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Investigating Officer. In status report, it is claimed that his custodial interrogation is required. However, ASI Ranjit, No. 116/ASR(Rural) present in Court and learned counsel representing State on his instructions stated that petitioner is not required for any other purpose.
5. Considering the aforesaid factual position, no purpose would be served by sending petitioner behind the bars. He has joined investigation. Therefore, *interim* bail already granted vide order dated 16.07.2024 stands confirmed, subject to the conditions detailed under Section 438(2) of Cr.P.C.
6. Petition is accordingly, allowed.
7. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.11.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No