



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6643-2024

Date of Decision: 16.07.2024

Bharti and Another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Ms. Ekta Garg, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(oral)

1. Petitioners Bharti and Sandeep have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus, directing respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7 and also to decide representation dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 22 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 25 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 10.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage issued by Maa Naina Devi Jan Kalyan Society, MDC, Sector 4, Panchkula, Haryana is Annexure P-4. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Kurukshetra, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Respondents No. 4 to 7

are parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 11.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.07.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No