



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-24938-2024

Reserved on : 30.09.2024

Date of pronouncement : 03.10.2024

Deepak Mahajan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Justice Alka Sarin, Judge at Punjab and Haryana High Court at Chandigarh
and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Mahajan, petitioner-in-person
(through video conferencing).

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. This petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution questions the legality, validity and propriety of the final order dated 28.02.2023 passed in RA-CR-29-2023 in CR-929-2021, whereby the learned Single Judge dismissed the review petition with costs of Rs. 10,000/-, for the petitioner having made wild and unsubstantiated allegations against the legal aid counsel as well as counsel in the District Courts and judicial officers.

2. Pertinently, the said review petition sought review of order dated 19.01.2023 passed in CR-929-2021 dismissing the said Civil Revision, which had been preferred against an interlocutory order dated 05.11.2020, by which an application for amendment to the plaint was dismissed.

3. The writ jurisdiction of this Court under Article 226 of the Constitution cannot be exercised to assail the impugned order passed in the said review petition, for the simple reason that a writ of mandamus and



certiorari, as sought herein, cannot be sought to disturb an order passed by Single Bench of this Court in any jurisdiction.

4. More so, the petitioner has not deposited costs imposed by the learned Single Judge vide the impugned order.

5. Looking to the wild and unsubstantiated allegations made in this petition, in which one of the sitting Judges of this Court is impleaded as respondent No.1, this Court was initially of the view that the petition be dismissed with cost, but refrains from doing so, since petitioner has appeared in person (through video conferencing) and has expressed his inability to pay costs imposed earlier.

6. Accordingly, this petition being not maintainable is dismissed as such, with direction to petitioner to pay costs of Rs. 10,000/-, directed to be paid in the impugned order, within a period of thirty (30) days from today, failing which the Registry is directed to initiate proceedings for recovering the said costs as arrears of land revenue.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

October 03, 2024
ndj

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No