



CRM-M-22955-2024

-1-

269

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-22955-2024

Date of Decision:- 18.10.2024

Vikas Kumar

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Rahul Deswal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Sween Chaudhary, Advocate
for respondent No. 2.

* * * *

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. Petitioner Vikas Kumar has filed petition under Section 482 of Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No. 279 dated 16.09.2020, registered under Section 506 of IPC (whereas Section 24 of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 was added while presenting Final Report under Section 173 Cr.P.C. dated 08.01.2021) at Police Station Sector 13/17, District Panipat, Haryana (P-1), on the basis of compromise dated 20.04.2024 (P-2) and affidavit dated 20.04.2024 (P-3), effected between the parties and further to quash all consequential proceedings arising out of said FIR.

2. As per the facts of case, Joginder Pal complainant gave his statement that he is 74 years old man. His wife has already expired. He was residing with his daughter for last about two years. His son namely Vikas Kumar harassed him and threatened to kill him. He was having dispute

**CRM-M-22955-2024****-2-**

with him with regards to the shops situated at Karnal. With these allegations, present FIR was registered under Section 506 of IPC and Section 24 of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007.

3. Petitioner filed this petition for quashing of aforesaid FIR on the basis of compromise. Petitioner and respondent No. 2 were directed to appear before learned Trial Court/Area Magistrate for recording their statements on the basis of compromise. Detailed report regarding compromise has been received from the Court of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, dated 01.07.2024. Statement of respondent No. 2/complainant Joginder Pal has been recorded, where he confirmed the compromise with petitioner. He confirmed that this compromise has been effected without any pressure, undue influence or coercion and with their own volition and free will. He further stated that he has no objection regarding quashing of FIR.

4. Petitioner – Vikas Kumar also confirmed this fact in his statement. Statement of Investigating Officer HC Satish Kumar is also recorded. He confirmed that accused has never been declared proclaimed person in present case.

5. Therefore, from the report of learned Chief Judicial Magistrate, Panipat, it is clear that compromise has been effected between parties without any pressure, coercion or undue influence, which is acceptable to both the parties.

6. Considering all these factors in mind, in the case in hand, both parties have mutually settled their dispute. It would enable them to live in peace and harmony and end all litigation started between them. Thus, no



CRM-M-22955-2024

-3-

purpose would be served with continuation of criminal proceedings.

7. Therefore considering these facts, petition filed by petitioner Vikas Kumar is allowed and FIR No. 279 dated 16.09.2020, registered under Section 506 of IPC (Section 24 of Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizens Act, 2007 added later on) at Police Station Sector 13/17, District Panipat, Haryana (P-1) and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua petitioner.

8. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

18.10.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No