



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6634-2024

Date of Decision : **06.08.2024**

POONAM RANI AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. Ketan Chopra, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Jeevan Gautam, Advocate,
for respondents no.6 and 7.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J.(Oral)

1. Though the petitioner no.1, was already married with respondent no.6, yet she decided to walk out from the matrimonial relationship without getting divorce from him and started living with petitioner no.2, and having apprehension to their life and liberty, the instant petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a *mandamus* upon the official respondents to protect their life and liberty.

2. On 16.07.2024, this Court directed the State to file a status report detailing therein, whether there is any threat perception, to the life

of the present petitioners as alleged in the instant petition, genuinely exists or the same is based on mere assumptions and presumptions.

3. At this stage, Mr.Jeevan Gautam, Advocate, has caused appearance on behalf of respondents no.6 and 7, through a validly executed power of attorney in his favour. The same is taken on record.

4. Today, learned State counsel, on instructions imparted to him ASI Balihar Singh, submits that a thorough inquiry was conducted by the police authority, and on inquiry it revealed that as on date there is no threat perceptions to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

5. In view of the specific statement suffered by learned State counsel, learned counsel for the petitioners, opted not to press the instant petition, at this stage.

6. **Dismissed as not pressed**, at this stage.

August 06, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No