



123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-6596-2024

Date of Decision:- 12.07.2024

Sahina and Another

....Petitioners

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Mandeep Singh Lamba, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

Petitioners Sahina and Mohd. Nasir have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ, order or direction to respondents No. 1 and 2 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 3 and 4 and also to decide representation Annexure P-4.

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 19 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 21 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 22.11.2023. Copy of their Nikah-Nama is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Nuh, which is Annexure P-4. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner No.1. Respondents No. 3 and 4 are parents of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.
5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.
6. I have considered the facts narrated in the petition, according to which both the petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against the wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 3 and 4. It is the duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondent No. 2 is directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 08.07.2024 (Annexure P-4) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 3 and 4, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.
7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.
8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.07.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No