

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

202

CWP-18409-2020
Date of Decision : 01.04.2024

DHARMINDER SINGH ... PETITIONER

Versus

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr.Amit Kaith, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Shivoy Dhir, Sr. Panel Counsel for
Mr. Satish Saini, Sr. Panel Counsel
for respondent No.1-UOI.

Mr. Ashish Kapoor, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. The petitioner through instant petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is seeking setting aside of order dated 22.09.2020 (Annexure P-5) whereby his candidature was rejected in Group-1 and he was shifted to Group-3.
2. Counsel for the respondent, at the outset, submits that another candidate has already been selected and claim of the petitioner was rejected because he offered unregistered lease deed. In the brochure, it is specifically mentioned that lease deed should be registered, thus, he was shifted to Group-3. Another candidate in Group-1 was available, thus he came to be selected.

3.
- Faced with this, counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition and seeks permission to avail remedies as permissible by law.
4.
- Dismissed as not pressed with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

01.04.2024
anju

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>