



109

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-6665-2024

Date of Decision: 16.07.2024

Pooja and Another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Surender, Advocate for
Mr. S.K. Sirsa, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(oral)

1. Petitioners Pooja and Rohit Kumar have filed present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and also to decide representation dated 10.07.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. It is submitted that both petitioners are major. Petitioner No.1 is aged about 21 years, copy of her Aadhar Card is Annexure P-1. Petitioner No. 2 is aged about 24 years, copy of his Aadhar Card is Annexure P-2. They had performed marriage on 02.07.2024. Copy of Certificate of Marriage Ceremony issued by Shubh Vivah Sewa Samiti, Shiv Colony, Bhiwani (Haryana) is Annexure P-3. Petitioners also moved representation to Superintendent of Police, District Sirsa and Station House Officer, P.S. Sadar Rania, District Sirsa, which is Annexure P-5. Petitioners had performed marriage without consent and wishes of parents of petitioner

No.1. Respondents No. 4 to 6 are parents/relatives of petitioner No.1 from whom, petitioners are receiving threats.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of this Court, Mr. Rupinder Singh Jhand, Addl. AG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of State. Complete copy of paperbook along with supporting documents is already supplied.

5. Considering nature of litigation, no purpose would be served by serving notice to private respondents.

6. I have considered the facts narrated in the petition, according to which both petitioners are major and they have performed marriage against wishes of family of petitioner No. 1, as a result they are apprehending threats from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6. It is duty of police to protect life and liberty of petitioners. Therefore, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider representation of petitioners dated 10.07.2024 (Annexure P-5) and protect life and liberty of petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6, considering threat perception with further direction to maintain their privacy and dignity.

7. Aforesaid protection order will have no bearing on the legality and validity of marriage and it will not protect them in case there is any violation of law or pending legal proceedings.

8. Petition is accordingly disposed of.

9. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

16.07.2024

lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No