



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(111)

CWP No. 16382 of 2024
Date of Decision : 18.07.2024

Surinder Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arjun Dev, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Swapan Shorey, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that the petitioners have not been given the pay scale for which they are entitled for while working on the post of Patwari, hence, respondents are liable to be directed to re-consider the claim of the petitioners for the grant of pay scale of ₹1350-2400/- w.e.f. 01.01.1986 and the consequent revision of the said pay scale along with arrears and interest.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have already raised the said grievance in the legal notice dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-10) but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in



case a direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Swapan Shorey, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the legal notice dated 13.05.2024 (Annexure P-10) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioners in the speaking order to be passed and will be duly conveyed to them.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 18, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No