



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

237

CRM-M -34462-2024

Date of Decision : **July 25, 2024**

RAVINDER SHARMA

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. T.S.Attariwala, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhupinder Singh, D.A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, the petitioner craves for indulgence of this Court for his being enlarged on regular bail, in case FIR No.472 dated 26.11.2023, under Sections 379-B, 384, 389, 201 IPC, registered at P.S. Bilaspur, District Yamuna Nagar.

2. The prosecution agency was set into motion on a complaint made by one Gian Chand, wherein, it was alleged that the present petitioner alongwith other co-accused, made a honey trap to extract huge amount from the complainant.

3. A perusal of the FIR(supra), makes revelations that the petitioner was earlier trapped by one Shweta (declared Juvenile subsequently) and thereupon, they started extracting money from the complainant, on the pretext of involving him in a false rape case.



4. Learned counsel for the petitioner, in his asking for the relief of regular bail, has submitted that the petitioner is not named in the instant FIR and no other role is assigned to the petitioner. He further submits that the petitioner is co-pedestal with co-accused Dilpreet Singh, who has been granted the relief of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 7.3.2024 passed in CRM-M-7110-2024.

5. The Learned State counsel and the learned counsel for the complainant, have opposed the grant of regular bail to the petitioner on the ground that infact the complainant was illegally confined in the house of maternal grand-mother of the present petitioner where, he was given beatings and an amount of ₹25,000/- was snatched from him. Further, the learned State counsel on instructions imparted to him by SI Charanjeet, informs this Court that though the final report has been submitted on 25.1.2024, however, charges are yet to be framed.

6. This Court has examined the allegations against the petitioner, and has heard both the parties concerned and has gone through the entire case file.

7. Considering the fact that the petitioner is not named in the FIR(supra) and has clean antecedents and he is behind the bars since 11.3.2024 and keeping in view the fact that the conclusion of trial would take long time, as charges are yet to be framed and the fact that the petitioner is co-pedestal with co-accused Dilpreet Singh, who has been granted the relief of regular bail by this Court vide order dated 7.3.2024 passed in CRM-M-



regular bail to the petitioner. Therefore, without commenting upon the merits and circumstances of the present case, the present petition is **allowed**. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing of bail bond and surety bond to the satisfaction of concerned Chief Judicial Magistrate/trial Court/Duty Magistrate.

8. However, it is clarified that if in future, the petitioner is found indulging in commission of similar offences, as are involved herein, the respondent-State shall be at liberty to make an appropriate application seeking cancellation of regular bail, as granted by this Court. Moreover, anything observed here-in-above shall have no effect on the merits of the trial and is meant for deciding the present petition only.

July 25, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No
Whether Reportable. : Yes/No