

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.34369 of 2023

Date of decision: 4<sup>th</sup> March, 2024

Sanjeet Kumar @ Meenu

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL**

Present: Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana  
for the respondent/State.

**MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)**

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.271 dated 28.03.2023 for the offences under Sections 406, 420, 120-B IPC and Section 24 of Immigration Act registered at Police Station Sadar Karnal District Karnal.

2. On 14.11.2023, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation on account of following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on 19.07.2023:

*“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that there was a dispute pertaining to the financial transactions between the parties, which has been given a criminal colour. Learned counsel submits that not even a single penny stands deposited in the account of the petitioner, which was allegedly demanded by him for sending the son and the nephew of the complainant abroad.*

*It has also been submitted that a false case having been planted upon the petitioner finds credence from the fact that FIR in question came into existence after a lapse of one year after the alleged assurance and money was given to the accused.”*

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 14.11.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation, however, he has not extended full cooperation to the investigating agency inasmuch as ₹23.00 lacs which had been given to the petitioner by the complainant in cash, had not been recovered.

5. Be that as it may, since the petitioner has joined the investigation in compliance of the directions of this Court, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 14.11.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)  
JUDGE

March 4, 2024  
rps

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |