



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

209

CRM-M-33341-2024

Date of decision: 22.10.2024

Lovepreet Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Ms. Rajwinder Kaur Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case DDR No.012 dated 05.05.2024 under Sections 458, 436, 506, 148, 149 of the IPC 1860 (Section 427 of the IPC added lateron) registered at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib in FIR No.0020 dated 03.05.2024 under Sections 326, 324, 323, 341, 148, 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Lakhewali, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

2. On 16.07.2024, while noticing the following submissions made by the learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that it is case of version and cross-version. Learned counsel submits that in fact it is the opposite party which trespassed into the house of the co-accused and inflicted as many as 18 injuries, including 06 injuries,



CRM-M-33341-2024

which were opined to be grievous, on the person of Tejveer Singh. While drawing the attention of this Court to the DDR which has been annexed as Annexure P-1, learned counsel has further submitted that firstly it was recorded after two days of the alleged occurrence and even otherwise a perusal of the same clearly reveals that no injury has been attributed to the petitioner except that it has been alleged that the petitioner was armed with a kappa.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 16.07.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 16.07.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 482(2) of the BNSS/438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

22.10.2024

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No