

CWP-23034-2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 24-09-2024

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Mr. Swapan Shorey, DAG Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once, the petitioner has also applied for re-checking of her typing sheet, non-grant of consideration to the said prayer by the respondents is arbitrary and illegal and the respondents be directed to re-check the typing sheet of the petitioner

manually so as to adjudge as to whether the petitioner has passed the same or not so as to be considered eligible for the post in question.

Upon notice of motion, the respondents have filed the reply. In the reply, the respondents have submitted that the typing test was conducted in an online mode and was conducted in the manner required. As per the respondents, as the typing test was being conducted in an online mode, there might be some inadequacies in checking of the typing sheet of the candidates which was also done through computer program and in order to give a fair chance to all, any candidate who thought that his/her typing sheet has not been evaluated properly, were given a chance to apply for checking of their typing sheets manually and the candidates who applied for re-checking of their typing sheets, the same were checked manually and it is thereafter, that the result of the selection was declared whereas the petitioner never raised any grievance with regard to her typing sheet and therefore, now raising objection after the result has been declared, is not valid and it should not be entertained hence, the present petition should be dismissed.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the records of the present case with their able assistance.

It is conceded position between the parties that the respondents gave a chance to the candidates who were competing for the post in question in case, any of the candidates felt that his/her typing sheet has not been checked properly. The Public Notice dated 28.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) was published giving chance to every candidate, which chance was availed by number of candidates so as to get their typing sheets manually checked.

The petitioner never raised such grievance under the Public Notice dated 28.06.2016 (Annexure P-5) and it is only after the manual checking of

the sheets of the candidates who had applied in pursuance to the Public Notice dated 28.06.2016 that, the petitioner applied in August, 2016 for checking of her sheet also but the result had duly been declared and by the said date, the evaluation of the type test through online mode as well as manually qua the candidates who had raised objection has already been finished.

Once, the petitioner failed to avail the chance given to her as per the Public Notice dated 28.06.2016, she cannot raise any grievance now subsequently to check her typing sheet again manually. The chance should have been availed by the petitioner in case, she had any grievance with regard to her typing sheet being evaluated by an online mode through the software therein.

Once, the petitioner failed to apply/request for the manual checking of her typing answer sheet in due time and the selection has already been made as far as back in 2015, no ground is made out to grant another chance to the petitioner for checking of her typing sheet manually so as to consider her eligibility of having passed the typing test.

No ground is made out for interference by this Court in the present petition.

Accordingly, the present petition is dismissed.

Pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

24-09-2024
Sapna Goyal

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

NOTE: Whether speaking : YES/NO
Whether reportable: YES/NO