



CWP-23000-2016

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211

CWP-23000-2016
Date of Decision: 16.05.2024

Hawa Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present:- Mr. Arav Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate and
Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocate for the respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Saurav Verma, Advocate, at the outset, submits that petitioner has alternative remedy to approach Industrial Tribunal. There are disputed questions of fact and it is only the Tribunal which can record findings on disputed questions of fact.
2. Mr. Arnav Gupta, Advocate expressed his inability to controvert the fact that petitioner has alternative remedy to approach Industrial Tribunal.
3. There are allegations against the petitioner with respect to receipt of ₹20,000/- from Mr. Mahavir Prasad Jain. The petitioner is disputing allegations made against him. To adjudicate the matter, the evidence led by both sides need to be examined. For the said purpose, Industrial Tribunal has been constituted.



4. The petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to approach Industrial Tribunal in accordance with law. He would be at liberty to raise all pleas before the Tribunal.
5. This Court is sanguine of the fact that Industrial Tribunal would notice pendency of present petition while considering question of limitation.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

16.05.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No