



ARB-311-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

289/2

ARB-311-2024

Date of Decision: 24.09.2024

M/s Wonder Laminates Private Limited

...Applicant

Versus

Rail Coach Factory and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: - Mr. Parvinder Singh Guliani, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Sudhir Nar, Senior Panel Counsel
for Union of India-respondents

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant application under Section 29A of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996 (for short '**1996 Act**'), the applicant is seeking extension of mandate of Arbitrator.

2. The applicant preferred an application under Section 11(6) of 1996 Act seeking appointment of an Arbitrator. By order dated 15.02.2021 (Annexure P-1) of this Court, a Sole Arbitrator was appointed to adjudicate the dispute between the parties. Both the parties appeared before the Arbitrator and filed their claims/counter claims. The mandate of Arbitrator in terms of Section 29A of 1996 Act has expired.

3. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that Arbitrator was appointed by this Court, thus, this Court can extend mandate of Arbitrator.



Both the parties have filed claims/counter claims. The matter has already been heard and award is to be pronounced.

4. Learned counsel for the respondent does not dispute the afore-stated factual and legal position.

5. In the wake of statement of both sides, the present application is allowed and the mandate of Arbitrator is extended for a period of 3 months from today.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

24.09.2024
Mohit Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No