

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

243

**CWP No.21412 of 2022
Date of Decision : 1.5.2024**

Partap Singh Mehra

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present: Mr. Saurav Saini, Advocate, for
Mr. Arihant Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioner
Mr. Parveen Mehta, DAG, Haryana
Mr. Barjesh Mittal, Advocate, for respondents no.2 & 3

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA J. (ORAL):

The petition has been filed, *inter alia*, seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondent-authorities to grant the benefit of MACP Scheme to the petitioner and fix his pay and pension correctly, over and above that of his juniors.

2. Learned counsel for respondents no.2 and 3 contends that the relief claimed has already been granted to the petitioner vide office order dated 6.7.2023 and 4.8.2023. The written statement filed to that effect is taken on record, a copy whereof has already been furnished to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. In view thereof, the petition is disposed of as infructuous.

**(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE**

1.5.2024

Ashwani

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No