



292 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-33399-2024
Date of Decision : 04.09.2024

Kiran Singhal and another **...Petitioners**

Versus

State of U.T, Chandigarh and others **....Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Ms. Apoorva Kinra, Advocate for Mr. Harsh Kinra Singh,
Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T., Chandigarh with Mr. Shubham
Mangla, Advocate for U.T. Chandigarh-respondent Nos.1 and
2.

Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate for respondent No.3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.60
dated 09.03.2018 under Sections 323, 325, 34 of the IPC registered at
Police Station Maloya, Chandigarh, along with all consequential
proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated
04.07.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. Vide order dated 17.07.2024 of this Court, the parties were
directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on




29.07.2024 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, (1st Class) Chandigarh, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the copy of statements of the parties, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.3 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, (1st Class) Chandigarh and the principles laid down by Hon'ble the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed *qua* petitioners.



7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

September 04, 2024
poonam

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/ reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*