



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32967-2024

Date of Decision: 12.07.2024

Harmeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Union Territory, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present: Mr. Dinesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vipul Jindal, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh
for respondents No. 1 to 3.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.(oral)

1. Petitioner Harmeet Kaur has filed petition under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 directing the official respondents to take appropriate steps in accordance with law against respondents No. 4 and 5 due to their continuous harassment, atrocities and despite receiving application dated 08.04.2024, Annexure P-1, respondents No. 2 and 3 have not taken any action against respondents No. 4 and 5.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of this Court, Mr. Vipul Jindal, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondents No. 1 to 3.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner pointed out that son of the present petitioner namely Sukhpreet Singh was married to respondent No.5. His son has expired. Respondent No. 5 is residing in Canada. Respondent No. 4 is father of respondent No. 5. The petitioner has alleged harassment caused by respondents No. 4 and 5 who are keeping eye on his property. Respondents No. 2 and 3 have not taken any action on representation given by petitioner, Annexure P-1.

Considering the aforesaid facts, respondents No. 2 and 3 are directed to consider the representation filed by petitioner dated Nil, Annexure P-1 and to pass appropriate order providing protection to petitioner in case there is any threat perception.

- 5. Petition is accordingly disposed of.
- 6. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

12.07.2024
lalit

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No