

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(203)

CWP No. 24352 of 2014
Date of Decision : 10.01.2024

Roop Chand and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate and
Mr. Joy Preet Meelu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Saurabh Mohunta, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners is that they are entitled for consideration of their claim under the Policy dated 01.10.2003, which had already been restored by the respondents in the year 2014.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that as of now, no such consideration has been given by the respondents and no order as to whether, petitioners are entitled for regularization of their services under the Policy dated 01.10.2003 has been passed, hence, petitioners will be satisfied in case, in a time bound manner, the claim of the petitioners is considered by the respondents under the Policy dated 01.10.2003 and appropriate order is passed.

3. Learned State counsel submits that appropriate order with regard to the claim of the petitioners for regularization under 2003 Policy will be passed keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and the said order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of copy of this order and in case, petitioners are found entitled for any relief, the same will be extended to them otherwise, due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the said statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

5. Ordered accordingly.

January 10th, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No