

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18298-2022 (O&M)
Date of decision: 15.01.2024

Savitri Devi
.....Petitioner
Versus
The Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd and Others
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

Present: Mr. Jasmeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harmilonjot, Advocate
for Mr. P.S. Gill, Advocate for respondents No.1 to 4.

NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court by filing the present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents/authorities to consider the work charge service of the late husband of the petitioner, for computation of pensionary benefits.

On 15.11.2022, the following order was passed:-

“Mr. Partap Singh Gill, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondents. He submits that the grievance of the petitioner as reflected in the notice of motion order has been addressed and the necessary relief in favour of the petitioner has also been sanctioned by the office of Pension Audit, Patiala. He seeks short accommodation to file an appropriate affidavit in that regard.

On request, adjourned to 31.01.2023.”

In pursuance to the said order, short reply by way of affidavit of Hardeep Kumar, Senior Executive Engineer, DS Division,

Phagwara, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 4 has been filed, wherein it has been stated as under:-

“That the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous as the grievance of the petitioner mentioned in the Petition has already been resolved and the gratuity has been reassessed after counting the years of service of the Petitioner's husband namely Shankar Lal. The Pension Audit, Patiala has issued a Revised Gratuity Payment Order (RGPO) dated 17.10.2022 amounting to Rs.2,23,102/-, which further has been credited in the account of the Petitioner on 02.12.2022. The copy of the Revised Gratuity Payment Order is annexed herein as Annexure R-1.”

In view of the stand taken by respondents No.1 to 4 in the short reply, the instant writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

15.01.2024
yakub

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No