

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

215

CRM-M-32943-2024
Date of decision: 12.08.2024

SAJID
Versus
STATE OF HARYANA
....Petitioner
...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI


Present : Mr. Anas Ahmed, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional A.G., Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On 12.07.2024, this Court had passed the hereinafter extracted order,
upon the instant petition:-

- “1. Through the instant petition, as instituted under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C., the petitioner seeks the concession of anticipatory bail, in case FIR No.170 dated 14.06.2024, under Sections 13(1), 13(3) of Haryana Gauvansh Sanrakshan and Gausamvardhan Act, 2015, and, Sections 420, 201, 120-B of the IPC, registered at P.S. Sadar Tauru, District Nuh.*
- 2. The learned counsel for the petitioner, in his asking for the relief (supra), submits that the petitioner is quite innocent and has been falsely implicated in the present FIR. Except the secret information, which constituted the bedrock for registration of the present FIR, the investigating agency is not seized of any cogent material/evidence, thus indicative of petitioner’s involvement in the alleged crime. Moreover, petitioner’s co-accused Aneesha, who was arrested from the spot, has already been granted the relief of regular bail by the learned trial Court concerned.*
- 3. Notice of motion for 12.08.2024.*
- 4. Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G., Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana.*



- 2-

5. In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation and to appear before the investigating agency, as and when called upon to do so. In the event of his arrest, he shall be admitted to interim bail on his furnishing bail bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

2. Status report by way of affidavit dated 05.08.2024, of Sh. Ajaib Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Headquarter Nuh, District Nuh has been filed on behalf of respondent-State. The same is taken on record. Copy of the same has been supplied to the opposite counsel. Today, the learned State counsel has, on instructions imparted to him by the official respondent, stated that pursuant to the making of the hereinabove extracted order, the petitioner had joined investigation and he is no longer required for any further custodial interrogation.

3. In view of the above, the hereinabove extracted interim order dated 12.07.2024, is hereby made **absolute**, subject to the hereinafter extracted conditions:-

- “(i) the petitioner shall not commit an offence similar to the present offence;
- (ii) the petitioner shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case;
- (iii) the petitioner shall make himself available for interrogation by a police officer as and when required.”

4. This order should not be treated as “blanket” order. It will not be read granting petitioner indefinite protection from arrest. It shall be confined to the FIR mentioned *ibid* and will not operate in respect of any other incident that involves

commission of an offence.

5. Needless to say that anything observed hereinabove shall not be construed to be an opinion on the merits of the case.

12.08.2024	(KULDEEP TIWARI)
amandeep	JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned.	: Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	: Yes/No