



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(114)

CWP No. 15855 of 2024
Date of Decision : 15.07.2024

Rakesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. V.K. Shukla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order dated 12.01.2024 (Annexure P-7) declining the benefit has been passed by the Executive Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ferozepur, the petitioner intends to approach the higher authorities for the redressal of his grievance qua the regularization of his services and the respondents be directed to decide the said claim expeditiously so that petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Satnam Preet Singh, learned Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.



4. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case, the petitioner approaches any higher authority against the impugned order passed by the Executive Officer, Panchayat Samiti, Ferozepur, the authority concern will pass an appropriate order on the grievance raised within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

6. Ordered accordingly.

July 15, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No