



CRM-M-32834-2024

-1-

227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-32834-2024

Date of Decision:- 17.09.2024

Ankit

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:-HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE AMARJOT BHATTI

Present:- Mr. Balkar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwar Sanjiv Kumar, AAG, Haryana.

AMARJOT BHATTI, J.

1. The petitioner – Ankit has filed petition under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for grant of regular bail in FIR No. 125 dated 11.04.2024 under Sections 195-A, 506 of Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Murthal, District Sonapat.

2. As per the facts of case, Didar Singh complainant gave his statement that in the year 2023, he was posted as Toll Plaza Manager at Bhigan Toll Plaza, GT Road, Murthal. Regarding snatching occurrence, FIR No. 38 dated 28.01.2023 was registered under Section 379-B, 323, 395, 397, 427, 506 of IPC and Section 25 of Arms Act. He was to appear in that case as witness on 12.04.2024 before trial Court at Sonapat. He received Whatsapp call from Phone No. 8950525966 and allegedly Ankit Ridhau spoke to him by threatening that he should not depose otherwise he would be shot dead and on this threatening call, complainant sought

**CRM-M-32834-2024****-2-**

protection.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner argued that allegations levelled against petitioner are false. He was arrested on 12.04.2024. His application for regular bail was declined vide order dated 06.07.2024. He is involved in several other cases but in the case in hand, petitioner never gave any threatening call. It is pointed out that statement of Didar Singh in aforesaid FIR No. 38 of 2023, Police Station Murthal, Sonapat was already recorded on 12.04.2023. Trial of this case may take sometime. He will abide by the terms of bail order. Therefore, his regular bail petition may be allowed.

4. Status report is filed. It is pointed out that after completion of investigation, challan was presented on 24.05.2024 and case is pending for arguments on charge. In para No. 7 of status report, there is reference of 16 FIRs in which present petitioner is involved. It is submitted that petitioner is not entitled to be released on bail.

5. I have considered the allegations levelled in present FIR, according to which complainant Didar Singh alleged that on 12.04.2024, he received threatening call as he was to appear in FIR No. 38 of 2023 by stating that he should not depose in said case, otherwise, he would be shot dead. Today, learned counsel for petitioner has placed on record certified copy of statement of Didar Singh in FIR No. 38 of 2023, which was already recorded on 12.04.2023. So far involvement of petitioner in other FIRs is concerned, those will be decided on its own merits. Considering the facts and circumstances of present case and without going on merits, regular bail petition filed by petitioner – Ankit is allowed. He is ordered to be released on bail on furnishing bail bonds and surety bonds to the

satisfaction of trial Court/Duty Judge concerned.

6. The petition is accordingly, accepted.
7. Pending miscellaneous application(s), if any, stand disposed of accordingly as well.

17.09.2024
lalit

(AMARJOT BHATTI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No